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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the Bloch group $B_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$ over the ring of dual numbers of the algebraic closure of the field with $p$ elements, for a prime $p \geq 5$. We show that a slight modification of Kontsevich's $1 \frac{1}{2}$-logarithm defines a function on $B_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$. Using this function and the characteristic $p$ version of the additive dilogarithm function that we previously defined, we determine the structure of the infinitesimal part of $B_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$ completely. This enables us to define invariants on the group of deformations of Aomoto dilogarithms and determine its structure. This final result might be viewed as the analog of Hilbert's third problem in characteristic p.


## 1. Introduction

1.1. For an abelian group $M$, let $M_{\mathbb{Q}}:=M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. The general conjectures on motives produce certain complexes of sheaves $\Gamma(n)$, for each $n \geq 1[2, \S 5.10 \mathrm{D}]$, on the Zariski site of $S$, which are concentrated in the degrees $[1, n]$. For $S$ regular, these complexes would have the property that the cohomology groups $H^{i}(S, \Gamma(n))_{\mathbb{Q}}$ are isomorphic to the $n$-th graded piece $K_{2 n-i}(S)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(n)}$ of the $K$-theory of $S$, with respect to the $\gamma$-filtration [2, 5.10 B].

A candidate for such a complex was constructed unconditionally by Bloch [4], [19], when $n=2$ and $S=$ Spec $k$, where $k$ is an infinite field. We start with describing the analog of this complex over an artin local ring $A$, which we denote by $\gamma_{A}(2)$. This complex plays a central role below.

Definition 1.1.1. For $A$ any artinian local ring with infinite residue field, the Bloch group $B_{2}(A)$ is the free abelian group generated by the symbols $[x]$ such that $x(1-$ $x) \in A^{\times}$, modulo the subgroup generated by elements of the form

$$
[x]-[y]+[y / x]-\left[\left(1-x^{-1}\right) /\left(1-y^{-1}\right)\right]+[(1-x) /(1-y)],
$$

for all $x, y \in A^{\times}$such that $(1-x)(1-y)(1-x / y) \in A^{\times}$.
Definition 1.1.2. The Bloch complex over $A$ is the complex $\gamma_{A}(2)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{2}(A) \xrightarrow{\delta_{A}} \Lambda^{2} A^{\times} \tag{1.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

concentrated in degrees 1 and 2, where $\delta_{A}$ is defined by $\delta_{A}([x])=x \wedge(1-x)$.
Suslin [19, Theorem 5.2] proves that there is a natural surjection

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{3}(k) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\gamma_{k}(2)\right), \tag{1.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which induces an isomorphism $K_{3}(k)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(2)} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{1}\left(\gamma_{k}(2)_{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$. For the degree two part, one has $K_{2}(k)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(2)}=K_{2}^{M}(k)_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{2}\left(\gamma_{k}(2)_{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$.

Therefore, $\gamma_{k}(2)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ can be thought of as a complex, with an explicit description, that computes the weight two motivic cohomology over a field with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients.
1.2. For a field $k$, we let $k[\varepsilon]_{m}:=k[\varepsilon] /\left(\varepsilon^{m}\right)$. For a functor $F:(a l g / k) \rightarrow(A b)$, from $k$-algebras to abelian groups, we let

$$
F\left(k[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{\circ}:=\operatorname{ker}\left(F\left(k[\varepsilon]_{2}\right) \rightarrow F(k)\right),
$$

denote the infinitesimal part of $F\left(k[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$. Note that $F\left(k[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{\circ}$ is naturally a direct summand of $F\left(k[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$.

Bloch and Esnault constructed a dilogarithm in the infinitesimal case and proved that it gives a regulator-like map for an algebraically closed field $k$ of characteristic 0 . More precisely, for such a field $k$, they construct a complex $T \mathbb{Q}(2)(k)$ [5, (2.7)]:

$$
T B_{2}(k)_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\partial} k \otimes k^{\times}
$$

concentrated in degrees 1 and 2 , such that

$$
H^{1}(T \mathbb{Q}(2)(k)) \simeq K_{3}\left(k[t]_{2},(t)\right)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(2)} \text { and } H^{2}(T \mathbb{Q}(2)(k)) \simeq K_{2}\left(k[t]_{2},(t)\right)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(2)}
$$

exactly as in the isomorphism relating motivic cohomology to K-theory [5, Proposition 2.1]. To prove this, they construct an additive dilogarithm map

$$
\rho: T B_{2}(k) \rightarrow k,
$$

and show that when composed with the injection $H^{1}(T \mathbb{Q}(2)(k)) \rightarrow T B_{2}(k)_{\mathbb{Q}}, \rho$ induces an isomorphism $H^{1}(T \mathbb{Q}(2)(k)) \xrightarrow{\sim} k$.

In [5], the construction of $T \mathbb{Q}(2)(k)$ is based on the localization sequence in $K$ theory. In [21], it is shown that, if $k$ is a field of characteristic 0 , the infinitesimal part $\gamma_{k[\varepsilon]_{2}}(2)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\circ}$ of the Bloch complex $\gamma_{k[\varepsilon]_{2}}(2)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ also has the expected cohomology groups [21, Theorem 1.3.1]: there is an exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow K_{3}\left(k[\varepsilon]_{2},(\varepsilon)\right)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(2)} \rightarrow B_{2}\left(k[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\circ} \xrightarrow{\delta}\left(\Lambda^{2} k[\varepsilon]_{2}^{\times}\right)^{\circ} \rightarrow K_{2}\left(k[\varepsilon]_{2},(\varepsilon)\right)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(2)} \rightarrow 0 . \tag{1.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This was proved by first constructing an additive dilogarithm:

$$
l i_{2}: B_{2}\left(k[\varepsilon]_{2}\right) \rightarrow k,
$$

defined as

$$
l i_{2}([s+\alpha \varepsilon]):=-\frac{\alpha^{3}}{2 s^{2}(1-s)^{2}}
$$

for $s+\alpha \varepsilon \in \mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}$, with $s \in \mathbb{F} \backslash\{0,1\}$. This map induces an isomorphism [21, Theorem 1.3.2]:

$$
K_{3}\left(k[\varepsilon]_{2},(\varepsilon)\right)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{(2)} \xrightarrow{\sim} k .
$$

1.3. The main aim of the present note is to understand the structure of $B_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$ in characteristic $p$. Namely, we fix a prime $p \geq 5$ and let $\mathbb{F}$ be the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, the field with $p$ elements.

Kontsevich defined and studied a function $£_{1}$ [14], [8, Definition 4.1], (§2.1), which he called the $1 \frac{1}{2}$-logarithm since it satisfies a functional equation with four terms. In [8], this finite logarithm was generalized to higher weights which were shown to satisfy functional equations that are infinitesimal versions of the functional equations satisfied by the ordinary polylogarithms.

In this note, we make this more precise in the weight two case. Namely, we show that a slight modification $\mathfrak{L i}_{2}$ (Definition 2.1.1) of Kontsevich's function defines a function on the Bloch group over $\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}$ (Theorem 2.2.2):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{L i}_{2}: B_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathbb{F} . \tag{1.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using $\mathfrak{L i}_{2}$ and $l i_{2}$, we show that $\gamma_{\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}}(2)^{\circ}$ computes the infinitesimal part of the motivic cohomology of $\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}$ of weight two.
Theorem 1.3.1. The complex

$$
0 \rightarrow K_{3}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2},(\varepsilon)\right) \rightarrow B_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{\circ} \xrightarrow{\delta}\left(\Lambda^{2} \mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{\times}\right)^{\circ} \rightarrow 0,
$$

which extends the infinitesimal part of the Bloch complex, is exact.
Note that $K_{2}^{\mathrm{M}}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)=K_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)=0$ (Lemma 3.1.5) and hence the decomposable part $K_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right) \cdot K_{1}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$ of $K_{3}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$ is also 0 . Therefore the above exact sequence is the precise analog of (1.2.1) in characteristic $p$.
1.4. For $k$ an infinite field, a graded Hopf algebra $A .(k)$, called the Hopf algebra of Aomoto polylogarithms, is constructed in [3]. The importance of this Hopf algebra rests on the expectation that the graded pieces of $A .(k)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of degrees less than or equal to two coincide with those of the conjectured graded Hopf algebra associated to the category of mixed Tate motives $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{T} \mathcal{M}_{k}(\mathbb{Q})[7, \S 2]$ over Spec $k$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$. Therefore, of particular relevance is the group $A_{2}(k)$, and part of the comultiplication map $\nu_{1,1}: A_{2}(k) \rightarrow A_{1}(k) \otimes A_{1}(k)$, defined in [3, §2.1]. The elements of $A_{2}(k)$ are called the Aomoto dilogarithms.

We use Theorem 1.3.1 to describe $A_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{\circ}$ (the definition is recalled in $\S 4.1$ ), which can be thought of as the group of deformations of Aomoto dilogarithms:

Theorem 1.4.1. The maps $\mathfrak{L i}_{2}$, li $i_{2}$ and $\nu_{1,1}$ induce an isomorphism

$$
A_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{\circ} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F} \oplus \mathbb{F} \oplus(\mathbb{F} \otimes \mathbb{F}) .
$$

Here, Theorem 1.4.1 can be seen as a characteristic $p$ analogue of Sydler's Theorem [20], $[11, \S 6.3],[22, \S 2.2]$, on Hilbert's 3 rd problem, with one more invariant $\mathfrak{L i}_{2}$ in addition to the Dehn invariant and the volume invariant.

For the approach to infinitesimal motivic cohomology, based on additive Chow groups, we refer the reader to the works of Park [17] and Rülling [18].
1.5. The paper is organized as follows.

In $\S 2$, we show that the modification $\mathfrak{L i}_{2}$ of Kontsevich's $1 \frac{1}{2}$-logarithm defines a function from $B_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$ to $\mathbb{F}$.

In $\S 3$, we use a result of Suslin [19] and our functions $\mathfrak{L i}_{2}$ and $l i_{2}$ to compute the cohomology of the Bloch complex over $\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}$ (Theorem 3.2.4).

In $\S 4$, we prove Theorem 4.4.1, which describes the deformations of Aomoto polylogarithms in characteristic $p$.

Acknowledgements. The author thanks S. Bloch and H. Esnault for mathematical discussions, H. Esnault for the invitation to University of Duisburg-Essen, and the referee for many suggestions which improved the paper. The author was supported by SFB/TR45 of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and 109T674 of Tübitak while this paper was written.

Notation. The notations $B_{2}(A), \delta_{A}$, and $\gamma_{A}(2)$ are defined in Definition 1.1.1 and Definition 1.1.2. We omit the subscript when it is clear from the context.

Unless otherwise stated, all tensor products are over $\mathbb{Z}$. For an abelian group $E$, we let $E_{\mathbb{Q}}:=E \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathbb{F}(n)$ denote the abelian group $\mathbb{F}$, together with the $\mathbb{F}^{\times}$action given by $\lambda \star \alpha:=\lambda^{n} \alpha$, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$.

For a set $X$, we let $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ denote the free abelian group with basis $X$. For $x \in X$, we denote by $[x]$, the corresponding element in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$.

For a ring $A$, we let $A^{b}:=\left\{a \in A \mid a(1-a) \in A^{\times}\right\}$, and $\mathbb{P}_{A}^{n}:=\operatorname{Proj}\left(A\left[z_{0}, \cdots, z_{n}\right]\right)$.

## 2. A Regulator on the Infinitesimal Bloch Group

2.1. Let $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ be the field with $p$ elements, where $p \geq 5$, and $\mathbb{F}$ be the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. In this section, we will prove that the modification $\mathfrak{L i}_{2}$ of Kontsevich's $1 \frac{1}{2}$ $\operatorname{logarithm} £_{1}[14]$ defines a map from the infinitesimal Bloch group $B_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{\circ}(\S 1.1)$ to $\mathbb{F}$.

First we define $\mathfrak{L i}_{2}$.
Definition 2.1.1. For $s+\alpha \varepsilon \in \mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{]}$, let

$$
\mathfrak{L i}_{2}([s+\alpha \varepsilon]):=\frac{\alpha}{s(1-s)} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq p-1} \frac{s^{k / p}}{k} .
$$

Note that for $s$ in $\mathbb{F}, s^{1 / p}$ is uniquely defined.
Definition 2.1.2. For $s \in \mathbb{F}^{b}$, let $\{s\}:=s+s(1-s) \varepsilon$ and let $\langle s\rangle=[\{s\}] \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{b}\right]$.
A finite version of the logarithm was defined in [8, Definition 4.1] and [14]:

$$
£_{1}(s)=\sum_{1 \leq k \leq p-1} \frac{s^{k}}{k},
$$

for $s \in \mathbb{F}$.
The following functional equations are satisfied by $£_{1}[8$, Proposition 4.7, Proposition 4.9]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& £_{1}(x)=-x^{p} £_{1}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)  \tag{2.1.1}\\
& £_{1}(x)=£_{1}(1-x) \tag{2.1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
£_{1}(x)-£_{1}(y)+x^{p} £_{1}\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)+(1-x)^{p} £_{1}\left(\frac{1-y}{1-x}\right)=0 . \tag{2.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathbb{F}^{\times}$act on the $\mathbb{F}$-algebra $\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}$ by dilation, i.e. $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$acts by sending $\varepsilon$ to $\lambda \varepsilon$. By the functoriality of $B_{2}(\cdot)$ for ring homomorphisms, this gives an action of $\mathbb{F}^{\times}$on $B_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$. Namely,

$$
\lambda \star \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} k_{i}\left[s_{i}+\alpha_{i} \varepsilon\right]:=\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} k_{i}\left[s_{i}+\alpha_{i} \lambda \varepsilon\right],
$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}, s_{i}+\alpha_{i} \varepsilon \in \mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{b}$ and $k_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$.
Note that

$$
\frac{\{t\}}{\{s\}}=s \star\left\{\frac{t}{s}\right\}, \quad \frac{1-\{s\}}{1-\{t\}}=(t-1) \star\left\{\frac{1-s}{1-t}\right\}, \quad \text { and } 1-\{s\}^{-1}=\left(1-s^{-1}\right)(1-\varepsilon) .
$$

Therefore

$$
\frac{1-\{s\}^{-1}}{1-\{t\}^{-1}}=\frac{1-s^{-1}}{1-t^{-1}}
$$

and hence

$$
\mathfrak{L i}_{2}\left(\left[\frac{1-\{s\}^{-1}}{1-\{t\}^{-1}}\right]\right)=0
$$

and

$$
\left[\frac{1-\{s\}^{-1}}{1-\{t\}^{-1}}\right]=0 \in B_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{\circ} .
$$

By the notations above, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{L i}_{2}(\lambda \star\langle s\rangle)=\lambda £_{1}(s)^{1 / p} \tag{2.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functional equations (2.1.1), (2.1.2) and (2.1.3) then take the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{L i}_{2}(\langle s\rangle) & =\mathfrak{L i}_{2}(\langle 1-s\rangle),  \tag{2.1.5}\\
\mathfrak{L i}_{2}(\langle s\rangle) & =-s \mathfrak{L i}_{2}\left(\left\langle\frac{1}{s}\right\rangle\right), \tag{2.1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{L i}_{2}(\langle s\rangle)-\mathfrak{L i}_{2}(\langle t\rangle)+s \mathfrak{L i}_{2}\left(\left\langle\frac{t}{s}\right\rangle\right)+(1-s) \mathfrak{L i}_{2}\left(\left\langle\frac{1-t}{1-s}\right\rangle\right)=0 . \tag{2.1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.2. Next we show that $\mathfrak{L i}_{2}$ defines a map on the infinitesimal Bloch group.

Lemma 2.2.1. $\mathfrak{L i}_{2}$ maps the element

$$
[\{s\}]-[\{t\}]+\left[\frac{\{t\}}{\{s\}}\right]-\left[\frac{1-\{s\}^{-1}}{1-\{t\}^{-1}}\right]+\left[\frac{1-\{s\}}{1-\{t\}}\right]
$$

to 0 .
Proof. The expression above is equal to

$$
\langle s\rangle-\langle t\rangle+s \star\left\langle\frac{t}{s}\right\rangle-\left[\frac{1-s^{-1}}{1-t^{-1}}\right]+(t-1) \star\left\langle\frac{1-s}{1-t}\right\rangle .
$$

The image of the second to last element under $\mathfrak{L i}_{2}$ is 0 . The last element is mapped to

$$
(t-1) \mathfrak{L i}_{2}\left(\left\langle\frac{1-s}{1-t}\right\rangle\right)=(1-s) \mathfrak{L i}_{2}\left(\left\langle\frac{1-t}{1-s}\right\rangle\right)
$$

by (2.1.6). Then (2.1.7) proves the claim.
Theorem 2.2.2. $\mathfrak{L i}_{2}$ descends to give a map

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathfrak{L i}_{2}: B_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathbb{F} . \\
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\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. Let $x:=\alpha \star\{s\}$ and $y:=\beta \star\{t\}$. Let $F(x, y)$ denote the image of $[x]-[y]+$ $[y / x]-\left[\left(1-x^{-1}\right) /\left(1-y^{-1}\right)\right]+[(1-x) /(1-y)]$ under $\mathfrak{L i}_{2}$.

For any $x \in \mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{b}$, there exists $s \in \mathbb{F}^{b}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $x=\alpha \star\{s\}$. Then by (2.1.6),
$\mathfrak{L i}_{2}([x])=\alpha \mathfrak{L i}_{2}(\langle s\rangle)=-\alpha s \mathfrak{L i}_{2}\left(\left\langle\frac{1}{s}\right\rangle\right)=-\alpha \mathfrak{L i}_{2}\left(s \star\left\langle\frac{1}{s}\right\rangle\right)=-\alpha \mathfrak{L i}_{2}\left(\left[\frac{1}{\{s\}}\right]\right)=-\mathfrak{L i}_{2}\left(\left[\frac{1}{x}\right]\right)$.
Hence $F(x, y)=-F(y, x)$. Clearly, if $\alpha=\beta=0$ then $F(x, y)=0$. Also if $\alpha=\beta$ then $F(x, y)=\alpha F(\{s\},\{t\})=0$, by Lemma 2.2.1.

Without loss of generality, we assume that $\beta=1$. Then $F(x, y)=F(x,\{t\})=$ $F(\{s\},\{t\})+(\alpha-1) G(s, t)$, where $G(s, t)=$

$$
\mathfrak{L i}_{2}(\langle s\rangle)+\frac{s(s-1)}{s-t} \mathfrak{L i}_{2}\left(\left\langle\frac{t}{s}\right\rangle\right)+\frac{1-t^{-1}}{s^{-1}-t^{-1}} \mathfrak{L i}_{2}\left(\left\langle\frac{1-s^{-1}}{1-t^{-1}}\right\rangle\right)-\frac{s(1-t)}{s-t} \mathfrak{L i}_{2}\left(\left\langle\frac{1-s}{1-t}\right\rangle\right) .
$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that

$$
G(s, t)=0 .
$$

Using the inversion formula, $\mathfrak{L i}_{2}(\langle a\rangle)=-a \mathfrak{L i}_{2}\left(\left\langle\frac{1}{a}\right\rangle\right)$, for $a=\frac{1-s^{-1}}{1-t^{-1}}$ and $a=\frac{1-s}{1-t}$, $G(s, t)$ can be rewritten as

$$
\frac{s(s-1)}{s-t}\left(\mathfrak{L i}_{2}\left(\left\langle\frac{t}{s}\right\rangle\right)-\mathfrak{L i}_{2}\left(\left\langle\frac{1-t}{1-s}\right\rangle\right)+\frac{t}{s} \mathfrak{L i}_{2}\left(\left\langle\frac{1-t^{-1}}{1-s^{-1}}\right\rangle\right)+\left(1-\frac{t}{s}\right) \frac{1}{s-1} \mathfrak{L i}_{2}(\langle s\rangle)\right) .
$$

If in the last expression we use the identity

$$
\frac{1}{s-1} \mathfrak{L i}_{2}(\langle s\rangle)=\frac{1}{s-1} \mathfrak{L i}_{2}(\langle 1-s\rangle)=\mathfrak{L i}_{2}\left(\left\langle\frac{1}{1-s}\right\rangle\right)=\mathfrak{L}_{2}\left(\left\langle\frac{s}{s-1}\right\rangle\right),
$$

all we need to show reduces to:

$$
\mathfrak{L i}_{2}\left(\left\langle\frac{t}{s}\right\rangle\right)-\mathfrak{L i}_{2}\left(\left\langle\frac{1-t}{1-s}\right\rangle\right)+\frac{t}{s} \mathfrak{L i}_{2}\left(\left\langle\frac{1-t^{-1}}{1-s^{-1}}\right\rangle\right)+\left(1-\frac{t}{s}\right) \mathfrak{L i}_{2}\left(\left\langle\frac{s}{s-1}\right\rangle\right)=0 .
$$

But this is nothing but the main functional equation (2.1.7):

$$
\mathfrak{L i}_{2}(\langle a\rangle)-\mathfrak{L i}_{2}(\langle b\rangle)+a \mathfrak{L i}_{2}\left(\left\langle\frac{b}{a}\right\rangle\right)+(1-a) \mathfrak{L i}_{2}\left(\left\langle\frac{1-b}{1-a}\right\rangle\right)=0,
$$

with $a=\frac{t}{s}$ and $b=\frac{1-t}{1-s}$.

## 3. Cohomology of the Bloch Complex of Weight Two

In this section, we compute the infinitesimal part of the cohomology of $\gamma_{\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}}(2)$, the Bloch complex of weight two over $\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}$. Recall that $\gamma_{\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}}(2)$ is defined in (1.1.1) as the complex

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\delta} \Lambda^{2} \mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{\times}, \tag{3.0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\delta$ defined by $\delta([x])=x \wedge(1-x)$. In the following, for a group $G, H .(G, \mathbb{Z})$ denotes the discrete group homology of $G$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$.
3.1. Our aim in this section is to show that there is a surjection

$$
H_{3}\left(\mathrm{SL}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{ker}(\delta) .
$$

3.1.1. We begin by relating $H_{3}\left(\mathrm{GL}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right)$ to $\operatorname{ker}(\delta)$. Let us first recall the construction of the natural map

$$
H_{3}\left(\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{ker}(\delta)
$$

in [19, §2].
Let us call an $n$-tuple of points $\left(x_{0}, \cdots, x_{n-1}\right)$ with $x_{i}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$, for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, in generic position, if its reduction to an $n$-tuple of points $\left(\underline{x}_{0}, \cdots, \underline{x}_{n-1}\right)$ with $\underline{x}_{i}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{F})$, has the property that $\underline{x}_{i} \neq \underline{x}_{j}$ for $i \neq j$. Let $\tilde{C}_{n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)\right)$ denote the free abelian group generated by the $(n+1)$-tuple of points $\left(x_{0}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$, with $x_{i}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$, in generic position. The differentials $d: \tilde{C}_{n+1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)\right) \rightarrow \tilde{C}_{n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)\right)$ defined by

$$
d\left(x_{0}, \cdots, x_{n+1}\right):=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n+1}(-1)^{i}\left(x_{0}, \cdots, \hat{x}_{i}, \cdots, x_{n+1}\right)
$$

and the augmentation map $e: \tilde{C}_{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defined by $e\left(\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=1$, give an acyclic complex [19, Lemma 2.1]:

$$
\tilde{C}_{*}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0
$$

The last complex is naturally a complex of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$-modules, where, for $n \geq 0$, the group $\tilde{C}_{n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)\right)$ is endowed with the natural $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$ action and $\mathbb{Z}$ with the trivial $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$ action. Therefore, if $C_{n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)\right)$ denotes the $\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$ coinvariants of $\tilde{C}_{n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)\right)$, we have natural maps

$$
H_{i}\left(\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow H_{i}\left(C_{*}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)\right)\right),
$$

for every $i \geq 0$. In particular, we have a natural map:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{3}\left(\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow H_{3}\left(C_{*}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)\right)\right) \tag{3.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha: C_{3}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)\right) / d\left(C_{4}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)\right)\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} B_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right) \tag{3.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $\alpha^{-1}([x])=(0, x, 1, \infty)[21$, Remark 3.8.2]. Moreover, this isomorphism fits into a commutative diagram [21, (3.8.3)]:


This immediately implies that the map in (3.1.1) composed with $\alpha$ has image in $\operatorname{ker}(\delta)$ and hence gives the map

$$
H_{3}\left(\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{ker}(\delta)
$$

we were looking for.
The following statement is exactly the same as in [21, Proposition 5.1.1], but with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients instead of $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients. However, exactly the same proof works in this case.

Proposition 3.1.1. The natural map

$$
H_{3}\left(\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{ker}(\delta)
$$

above, is a surjection. Moreover, $H_{3}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)\right)$ lies in the kernel of this map, where

$$
\mathrm{T}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)
$$

denotes the subgroup of diagonal matrices.
Next we extend this map using Suslin's stabilization theorem.
Proposition 3.1.2. There is a natural map

$$
H_{3}\left(\mathrm{GL}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{ker}(\delta)
$$

whose restriction to $H_{3}\left(\mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right)$ is the map in Proposition 3.1.1.
Proof. First we would like to extend this map to a map:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{3}\left(\mathrm{GL}_{3}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{ker}(\delta) \tag{3.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such a map is constructed in $[21, \S 3.8]$, but with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients instead of $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients. The only place where $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients is used in loc. cit. is in the proof of [21, Claim 3.8.7]. Therefore, replacing that statement with Claim 3.1.3 below, [21, $\S 3.8]$ gives the map (3.1.3), extending the map in Proposition 3.1.1.

Claim 3.1.3. With the identification (3.1.2), we have

$$
\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=\operatorname{sign}(\sigma)\left(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, x_{\sigma(3)}, x_{\sigma(4)}\right)
$$

in $B_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$, for any $\sigma \in S_{4}$, and any 4-tuple of points $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)$, with $x_{i}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{1}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$, for $1 \leq i \leq 4$, in general position.

Proof. The proof is exactly the same as that of [21, Claim 3.8.7]; except we need to check that, for every $x \in \mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{b}$, we have

$$
[x]=-\left[x^{-1}\right], \text { and }[1-x]=-[x]
$$

in $B_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$.
If we let $\langle x\rangle:=[x]+\left[x^{-1}\right]$, then exactly as in [19, Lemma 1.2], $2\langle y\rangle=0$, and $\langle y\rangle+\langle x / y\rangle=\langle x\rangle$, for $x, y$, and $x / y \in \mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{b}$. Given $x \in \mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{b}$, since $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed and $p>2$, there exists $y \in \mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{]}$such that $y^{2}=x$. Then the last equation gives $\langle x\rangle=2\langle y\rangle=0$. Therefore $[x]=-\left[x^{-1}\right]$.

The proofs of $\left[19\right.$, Lemma 1.3, Lemma 1.5] carry over to the $\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}$ case and they imply that $[x]=-[1-x]$, since $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed.

To finish the proof of the proposition, we only note that Guin's stability theorem $[12, \S 3]$ gives that the natural map

$$
H_{3}\left(\mathrm{GL}_{3}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow H_{3}\left(\mathrm{GL}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism.
3.1.2. Let $R$ be any ring. Then by Whitehead's lemma [15, Proposition 11.1.5], the commutator subgroup $[\mathrm{GL}(R), \mathrm{GL}(R)$ ] of $\mathrm{GL}(R)$ is equal to the perfect subgroup $\mathrm{E}(R)$ generated by elementary matrices. Recall that Quillen's plus construction applied to $\operatorname{BGL}(R)$ with respect to $\mathrm{E}(R)$ gives a space $\mathrm{BGL}^{+}(R)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{i}(R):=\pi_{i}\left(\mathrm{BGL}^{+}(R)\right), \tag{3.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i \geq 1[15, \S 11.2 .4]$.
In this section, let $\mathbb{E} \in\{\mathbb{F}\} \cup\left\{\mathbb{F}_{p^{r}} \mid r \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$.
Lemma 3.1.4. With the notation above, $\mathrm{E}\left(\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)=\mathrm{SL}\left(\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$, and $H_{1}\left(\mathrm{SL}\left(\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right)=$ 0.

Proof. By the previous paragraph, showing that $\mathrm{E}(R)=\mathrm{SL}(R)$ is equivalent to showing that

$$
H_{1}(\mathrm{SL}(R), \mathbb{Z})=\mathrm{SL}(R) /[\mathrm{SL}(R), \mathrm{SL}(R)]=0
$$

By a theorem of Wang $[9$, Theorem 2.8.12], $\mathrm{E}(\mathbb{E})=\mathrm{SL}(\mathbb{E})$. Consider the exact sequence

$$
1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~V}(\mathbb{E}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}\left(\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(\mathbb{E}) \rightarrow 1,
$$

such that $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{E})=\cup_{n} \mathrm{~V}_{n}(\mathbb{E})$, where $\mathrm{V}_{n}(\mathbb{E})$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{E})$ consisting of matrices of trace 0. This gives a Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence [6, Theorem 6.3]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p q}^{2}=H_{p}\left(\mathrm{SL}(\mathbb{E}), H_{q}(\mathrm{~V}(\mathbb{E}), \mathbb{Z})\right) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}\left(\mathrm{SL}\left(\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right) \tag{3.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $H_{1}(\mathrm{~V}(\mathbb{E}), \mathbb{Z})=\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{E})$, with the action of $\mathrm{SL}(\mathbb{E})$ on $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{E})$ given by conjugation, we only need to show that $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{E})_{\mathrm{SL}(\mathbb{E})}=0$, where the subscript denotes taking coinvariants.

Note that

$$
\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{E})_{\mathrm{SL}(\mathbb{E})}=\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{E})_{\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{E})}
$$

since $\operatorname{det}(T)^{-1} T \in \mathrm{SL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{m}}\right)$, for $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{m}}\right)$, and $n \equiv 1\left(\bmod \left(p^{m}-1\right)\right)$. Therefore, it suffices to show that $\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{E})_{\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{E})}=0$.

Let $n \geq 2$ and let $E_{i j} \in \mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{E})$ denote the matrix that has 1 in the $i$-th row and $j$-th column, and zero elsewhere. Then $E_{i j}$ is similar to $E_{12}$, if $i \neq j$, and to $E_{11}$, if $i=j$. This and the Jordan decomposition theorem imply that, if $N \in \mathrm{~V}_{n}(\mathbb{E})$ is a nilpotent matrix, then $N=\lambda E_{12}$ in $\mathrm{V}_{n}(\mathbb{E})_{\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{E})}$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p} \subseteq \mathbb{E}$. Since in $\mathrm{V}_{n}(\mathbb{E})_{\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{E})}$, we have $2 E_{12}=E_{12}+E_{21}=E_{11}-E_{22}=0$, and $p \neq 2, E_{12}=0$ and $N=0$ in $\mathrm{V}_{n}(\mathbb{E})_{\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{E})}$.

Now note that any $A \in \mathrm{~V}_{n}(\mathbb{E})$ can be written as a sum of two nilpotent matrices and a diagonal matrix $D$ such that $\operatorname{tr}(D)=0$. Then, by the above, $A=D=\operatorname{tr}(D) E_{11}=0$ in $V_{n}(\mathbb{E})_{\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{E})}$.
3.1.3. By Lemma 3.1.4, the commutator subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}\left(\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$ is the perfect subgroup $\operatorname{SL}\left(\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$. Let $\operatorname{BSL}\left(\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{+}$denote the result of applying Quillen's plus construction $[15, \S 11.2 .4]$ to $\operatorname{BSL}\left(\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$ with respect to $\operatorname{SL}\left(\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$. The natural map

$$
\operatorname{BSL}\left(\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{+} \underset{9}{\rightarrow} \operatorname{BGL}\left(\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{+}
$$

is the universal covering space projection [15, Corollary 11.2.3]. Therefore,

$$
K_{i}\left(\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right) \simeq \pi_{i}\left(\mathrm{BSL}\left(\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{+}\right),
$$

for $i \geq 2$.
Note that
Lemma 3.1.5. $K_{2}\left(\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)=0$.
Proof. We have,

$$
K_{2}\left(\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right) \simeq K_{2}(\mathbb{E}) \oplus K_{2}\left(\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon]_{2},(\varepsilon)\right)
$$

Since $K_{2}\left(\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon]_{2},(\varepsilon)\right) \simeq \Omega_{\mathbb{E} / \mathbb{Z}}^{1}=0[13]$ and

$$
K_{2}(\mathbb{E})=K_{2}^{\mathrm{M}}(\mathbb{E})=\underset{\mathbb{F}_{p^{n} \subseteq \mathbb{C}}}{\lim _{\underset{R}{ }} K_{2}^{\mathrm{M}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}\right)=0, ~ ;, ~ \text {, }}
$$

[16, Theorem 11.1, Corollary 9.13] the assertion follows.
Therefore $\operatorname{BSL}\left(\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{+}$is 2-connected. Since

$$
H_{3}\left(\mathrm{SL}\left(\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{3}\left(\mathrm{BSL}\left(\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{+}, \mathbb{Z}\right),
$$

[15, Theorem 11.2.2] Hurewicz theorem applied to $\operatorname{BSL}\left(\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{+}$gives an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{3}\left(\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{3}\left(\mathrm{SL}\left(\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right) . \tag{3.1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The same argument also gives,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{2}\left(\mathrm{SL}\left(\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right)=K_{2}\left(\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)=0 \tag{3.1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 3.1.6. The natural map

$$
H_{3}\left(\mathrm{SL}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{ker}(\delta)
$$

is a surjection.
Proof. Since $\operatorname{SL}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$ is the commutator subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$, [19, Lemma 5.3] shows that there is a homotopy equivalence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{BSL}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{+} \times \mathrm{BF}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{\times} \rightarrow \operatorname{BGL}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{+} \tag{3.1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that $H_{i}\left(\operatorname{BGL}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{+}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{i}\left(\mathrm{GL}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right)$ and

$$
H_{i}\left(\operatorname{BSL}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{+}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{i}\left(\mathrm{SL}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right),
$$

for $i \geq 0$, the above computations and the Künneth theorem give an isomorphism

$$
H_{3}\left(\mathrm{SL}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right) \oplus H_{3}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{\times}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{3}\left(\mathrm{GL}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right) .
$$

Since the map (3.1.8) is induced by a map which sends $\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{\times}$into $\mathrm{GL}_{1}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right) \subseteq$ $T_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$, we see by Proposition 3.1.1 and Proposition 3.1.2 that the natural map

$$
H_{3}\left(\mathrm{SL}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{ker}(\delta)
$$

is a surjection.
3.2. In this section, using $\mathfrak{L i}_{2}$ and $l i_{2}$ below, we will compute the cohomology of the Bloch complex of weight two.
3.2.1. We constructed a map $\mathrm{Li}_{2,2}: B_{2}\left(k[\varepsilon]_{2}\right) \rightarrow k$, where $k$ is a field of characteristic 0 , in [21, Definition 2.2.4]. Since $p \geq 5$, the same construction, with the same proof, gives a map

$$
l i_{2}: B_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}
$$

Namely,

$$
l i_{2}(s+\alpha \varepsilon):=-\frac{\alpha^{3}}{2 s^{2}(1-s)^{2}},
$$

for $s+\alpha \varepsilon \in \mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{b}(c f .[21, \S 2.1])$.
3.2.2. Combining $\mathfrak{L i}_{2}$ and $l i_{2}$, we have a map

$$
\mathfrak{L} \mathfrak{L}_{2} \oplus l i_{2}: B_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}(1) \oplus \mathbb{F}(3)
$$

Lemma 3.2.1. The maps $\mathfrak{L i}_{2}: \operatorname{ker}(\delta)^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}(1)$ and $l i_{2}: \operatorname{ker}(\delta)^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}(3)$ are surjective.

Proof. Note that since $£_{1}(x)$ is of degree $p-1$ in $x$, there is an $s_{0} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ such that $£_{1}\left(s_{0}\right) \neq 0$. Since $£_{1}(0)=£_{1}(1)=0, s_{0} \in \mathbb{F}^{b}$ and this gives that $\mathfrak{L i}_{2}\left(\left\langle s_{0}\right\rangle\right) \neq 0$ and $l i_{2}\left(\left\langle s_{0}\right\rangle\right) \neq 0$.

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$and $\beta \in \mathbb{F}$, we have $\alpha \otimes \beta=\alpha^{1 / p} \otimes(p \beta)=0$ in $\mathbb{F}^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{F}$. Hence

$$
\Lambda^{2} \mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{\times}=\Lambda^{2} \mathbb{F}^{\times} \oplus \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{\times}\right)^{\circ} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda^{2} \mathbb{F}^{\times} \oplus \Lambda^{2}(\varepsilon \mathbb{F})
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Lambda^{2} \mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{\times}\right)^{\circ} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda^{2}(\varepsilon \mathbb{F}) . \tag{3.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\alpha \in B_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$, let $\underline{\alpha} \in B_{2}(\mathbb{F}) \subseteq B_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$ be the reduction of $\alpha$ modulo ( $\varepsilon$ ), and $\alpha^{\circ}:=\alpha-\underline{\alpha}$. Let $\lambda_{0} \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$. Then $\beta\left(\lambda_{0}, s_{0}\right):=\lambda_{0} \star\left\langle s_{0}\right\rangle^{\circ}-\lambda_{0}^{2}\left\langle s_{0}\right\rangle^{\circ} \in \operatorname{ker}(\delta)^{\circ}$ and

$$
\mathfrak{L i}_{2}\left(\beta\left(\lambda_{0}, s_{0}\right)\right)=\left(\lambda_{0}-\lambda_{0}^{2}\right) \mathfrak{L i}_{2}\left(\left\langle s_{0}\right\rangle\right) \neq 0
$$

and

$$
l i_{2}\left(\beta\left(\lambda_{0}, s_{0}\right)\right)=\left(\lambda_{0}^{3}-\lambda_{0}^{2}\right) l i_{2}\left(\left\langle s_{0}\right\rangle\right) \neq 0 .
$$

Therefore $\mathfrak{L i}_{2}$ and $l i_{2}$ are nonzero on $\operatorname{ker}(\delta)^{0}$. Surjectivity follows, since $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed.

Note that $K_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{\circ}=K_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2},(\varepsilon)\right)$.
Lemma 3.2.2. We have an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{3}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{\circ} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}(1) \oplus \mathbb{F}(3), \tag{3.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\mathbb{Z}\left[\mathbb{F}^{\times}\right]$-modules.
Proof. First note that for $\mathbb{E} \in\{\mathbb{F}\} \cup\left\{\mathbb{F}_{p^{r}} \mid r \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$, we have by (3.1.6),

$$
K_{3}\left(\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right) \xrightarrow[\rightarrow]{\sim} H_{3}\left(\mathrm{SL}\left(\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right) .
$$

Similarly, $K_{3}(\mathbb{E}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{3}(\mathrm{SL}(\mathbb{E}), \mathbb{Z})$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{3}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{\circ} & =\operatorname{ker}\left(H_{3}\left(\operatorname{SL}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow H_{3}(\mathrm{SL}(\mathbb{F}), \mathbb{Z})\right) \\
& =\underset{n}{\lim } \operatorname{ker}\left(H_{3}\left(\mathrm{SL}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow H_{3}\left(\operatorname{SL}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right)\right) \\
& =\underset{n}{\lim _{\rightarrow}} K_{3}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{\circ} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By [1, Theorem 1.1], there are canonical isomorphisms

$$
K_{3}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{\circ} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}[\varepsilon]_{4},(\varepsilon)\right) /\left(1+\alpha \varepsilon^{2} \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}\right) .
$$

Since the right hand side is isomorphic, as a $\mathbb{Z}\left[\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}^{\times}\right]$-module, to $\varepsilon \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}} \oplus \varepsilon^{3} \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$, this gives

$$
K_{3}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{\circ} \simeq \underset{n}{\lim }\left(\varepsilon \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}} \oplus \varepsilon^{3} \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}\right) \simeq \mathbb{F}(1) \oplus \mathbb{F}(3)
$$

We denote $V(\mathbb{F})$ by $V$ to ease the notation.
Corollary 3.2.3. There is a natural isomorphism

$$
K_{3}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{\circ} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{2}(\mathrm{SL}(\mathbb{F}), V) \oplus H_{0}\left(\mathrm{SL}(\mathbb{F}), \Lambda^{3} V\right) .
$$

Proof. Let us look at the $\mathbb{F}^{\times}$-action on the terms of the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence (3.1.5) that contribute to $K_{3}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{\circ}$. Only those parts of the terms on which the $\mathbb{F}^{\times}$-action has weight 1 or 3 will have a contribution to $K_{3}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{\circ}$, by Proposition 3.2.2. Since the action of $\mathbb{F}^{\times}$on $\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}$ is by dilatation, the induced action of $\mathbb{F}^{\times}$on $V$ is simply by multiplication. We will only consider the restriction of this action to $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times} \subseteq \mathbb{F}^{\times}$. This will suffice to distinguish between the distinct weight pieces since $p \geq 5$. One reason for only looking at the $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}$-action is that the various tensor power constructions below are over $\mathbb{Z}$, instead of $\mathbb{F}$.

Since $H_{1}(V, \mathbb{Z})=V$, the $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}$-action on $E_{21}^{2}$ has weight 1 .
Since $H_{2}(V, \mathbb{Z})=\Lambda^{2} V\left[6\right.$, Theorem 6.4, §V], the action of $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}$on $H_{2}(V, \mathbb{Z})$ has weight 2, hence the same is true for the action on $E_{12}^{2}$. Hence the $E_{12}^{2}$ term in the spectral sequence does not contribute to $K_{3}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{\circ}$.

The long exact sequence for homology associated to the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / p \rightarrow 0$, gives an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow H_{3}(V, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{3}(V, \mathbb{Z} / p) \rightarrow H_{2}(V, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0$. Since $H_{3}(V, \mathbb{Z} / p)=\Lambda^{3} V \oplus(V \otimes V)\left[6\right.$, Theorem 6.6, §V] and $H_{2}(V, \mathbb{Z})=\Lambda^{2} V$, the only part of $H_{3}(V, \mathbb{Z})$ that has weight 1 or 3 under the $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}$-action is $\Lambda^{3} V$, and this weight is 3 .

Combining all of these, we see that the only terms that have a contribution are $H_{2}(\mathrm{SL}(\mathbb{F}), V)=E_{21}^{2}$ and $H_{0}\left(\mathrm{SL}(\mathbb{F}), \Lambda^{3} V\right) \subseteq E_{03}^{2}$. Note that the latter is a direct summand. By using the same arguments, we see that all the differentials $d_{. .}^{2}$ restricted to the groups above are 0 . This shows that there is a filtration on $K_{3}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{\circ}$, whose graded pieces are the homology groups above. Since the $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}$action on these homology groups have weights 1 and $3, K_{3}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{\circ}$ is a direct sum of these homology groups.
Theorem 3.2.4. The composition of the maps in (3.1.6) and Proposition 3.1.6 induce an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{3}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{\circ} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{ker}(\delta)^{\circ}=\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\gamma_{2}(2)^{\circ}\right) . \tag{3.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following two maps, which are induced by (3.2.3) and Corollary 3.2.3:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{2}(\mathrm{SL}(\mathbb{F}), V) \rightarrow K_{3}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{\circ} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{ker}(\delta)^{\circ} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{L i} \mathfrak{i}_{2}} \mathbb{F}(1) \tag{3.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}\left(\mathrm{SL}(\mathbb{F}), \Lambda^{3} V\right) \rightarrow K_{3}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{\circ} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{ker}(\delta)^{\circ} \xrightarrow{l i_{2}} \mathbb{F}(3) \tag{3.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

are also isomorphisms.
Proof. Combining (3.2.3) above with the isomorphism (3.2.2) and the surjective maps in Lemma 3.2.1 gives a surjective map from $\mathbb{F}(1) \oplus \mathbb{F}(3)$ onto itself, which therefore is an isomorphism and hence so is (3.2.3). The other two statements follow immediately from this and Corollary 3.2.3.
Lemma 3.2.5. We have $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(\gamma_{2}(2)^{\circ}\right)=0$.
Proof. By the definition of Milnor K-theory, $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(\gamma_{2}(2)\right)=K_{2}^{\mathrm{M}}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$ [15, 11.1.16]. By $[12, \S 4.2], K_{2}^{\mathrm{M}}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)=K_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$. The assertion follows from Lemma 3.1.5.
Proposition 3.2.6. The maps $\mathfrak{L i}_{2}$, li $i_{2}$ and $\delta$ induce an isomorphism:

$$
B_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{\circ} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}(1) \oplus \mathbb{F}(3) \oplus \Lambda^{2} \mathbb{F}(1) .
$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.2.4 and Lemma 3.2.5 and the fact that $\mathbb{F} \otimes \mathbb{F}^{\times}=$ 0.

## 4. Application to Deformations of Aomoto Dilogarithms

4.1. Our main references for Aomoto polylogarithms are [3], [10, §1.16], and [23]. First we define an infinitesimal version of Aomoto dilogarithms, as in [22, §3.2].

We call a closed subscheme $L \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}}^{2}=\operatorname{Proj}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\left[z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}\right]\right)$, a line, if

$$
L=\operatorname{Proj}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\left[z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}\right] /\left(a_{0} z_{0}+a_{1} z_{1}+a_{2} z_{2}\right)\right),
$$

for some $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2} \in \mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}$, at least one of which is invertible in $\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}$. We denote by

$$
\underline{L}:=\operatorname{Proj}\left(\mathbb{F}\left[z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}\right] /\left(\underline{a}_{0} z_{0}+\underline{a}_{1} z_{1}+\underline{a}_{2} z_{2}\right)\right) \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}}^{2},
$$

the reduction of $L$.
A simplex in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}}^{2}$ is an ordered triple $H:=\left(H_{0}, H_{1}, H_{2}\right)$ of lines $H_{i} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}}^{2}$, for $0 \leq i \leq 2$. We denote the reduction of $H$ to a simplex in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}}^{2}$ by $\underline{H}:=\left(\underline{H}_{0}, \underline{H}_{1}, \underline{H}_{2}\right) . H$ is said to be non-degenerate, if $\cap_{0 \leq i \leq 2} \underline{H}_{i}=\emptyset$. A face of $H$ is an intersection $\cap_{i \in I} H_{i}$, for some $I \subset\{0,1,2\}$. A pair of simplices $(L, M)$ is said to be admissible if $\underline{L}$ and $\underline{M}$ do not have a common face.

Let $A_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$ be the abelian group generated by pairs of admissible simplices $(L, M)$ modulo the following relations:
(i) $(L, M)=0$, if $L$ or $M$ is degenerate
(ii) For $\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}(2)$, the group of permutations of $\{0,1,2\}$, let

$$
\sigma\left(L_{0}, L_{1}, L_{2}\right):=\left(L_{\sigma(0)}, L_{\sigma(1)}, L_{\sigma(2)}\right) .
$$

Then for every $\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}(2)$,

$$
(\sigma(L), M)=(L, \sigma(M))=\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)(L, M) .
$$

(iii) If $L_{0}, L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}$ are lines in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}}^{2}$ such that for all $0 \leq i \leq 3$,

$$
\left(\left(L_{0}, \cdots, \hat{L}_{i}, \cdots, L_{3}\right), M\right)
$$

is admissible then we have the additivity relation for the first component:

$$
\sum_{0 \leq i \leq 3}(-1)^{i}\left(\left(L_{0}, \cdots, \hat{L}_{i}, \cdots, L_{3}\right), M\right)=0 .
$$

We have the analogous additivity relation for the second component.
(iv) For every $\alpha \in \operatorname{PGL}_{3}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$,

$$
(\alpha L, \alpha M)=(L, M)
$$

4.2. There is a natural map $[3, \S 2.2]$ :

$$
m: S^{2} \mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{\times} \rightarrow A_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)
$$

If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{b}$, and $\alpha \odot \beta$ denotes the image of $\alpha \otimes \beta$ in $S^{2} \mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{\times}$then $m(\alpha \odot \beta):=$ $P(\alpha, \beta)$, where $P(\alpha, \beta) \in A_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$ is the prism defined as follows. Let $z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}$ denote the coordinates in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}}^{2}, L:=\left(z_{0}=0, z_{1}=0, z_{2}=0\right)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{1}:=\left(z_{2}-z_{0}=0, z_{1}-\alpha z_{0}=0, z_{2}-z_{0}-\frac{\beta-1}{\alpha-1}\left(z_{1}-z_{0}\right)=0\right) \\
& \Delta_{2}:=\left(z_{2}-z_{0}-\frac{\beta-1}{\alpha-1}\left(z_{1}-z_{0}\right)=0, z_{2}-\beta z_{0}=0, z_{1}-z_{0}=0\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then let $P(\alpha, \beta)=\left(L, \Delta_{1}\right)+\left(L, \Delta_{2}\right), P_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)=m\left(S^{2} \mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{\times}\right)$and

$$
B_{2}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right):=A_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right) / P_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right) .
$$

4.3. For $x \in \mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{b}$, let $\left(L, M_{x}\right)$ be the configuration in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}}^{2}$, where $L$ is the simplex above and $M_{x}$ is $\left(z_{1}-z_{0}=0, z_{1}+z_{2}=z_{0}, z_{2}-x z_{0}=0\right)$, cf. [3, Fig. 1.4]. This then defines a map $l_{2}: \mathbb{Z}\left[\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{b}\right] \rightarrow A_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$, by letting $l_{2}(x):=\left(L, M_{x}\right)$.

Proposition 4.3.1. The map $l_{2}$ above induces an isomorphism

$$
B_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{\circ} \xrightarrow{\sim} B_{2}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{\circ} .
$$

Proof. For $a \in \mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{b}$ let $H(a) \in A_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$ be the half-square with side $a$, cf. [3, Fig. 3.1]. Namely, $H(a)$ is $(L, S(a))$, where $L$ is as above and $M(a)$ is defined as:

$$
\left(z_{1}-a z_{0}=0, z_{2}-z_{1}=0, z_{2}-z_{0}=0\right)
$$

Since $\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{\times}$is 2 -divisible, by bisecting the sides of $M(a)$, we see that

$$
H(a)=2 P\left(a^{1 / 2}, a^{1 / 2}\right) \in P_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right) .
$$

Therefore half-squares lie in the group generated by the prisms. Also in the notation of $[3, \S 3.6], \delta(1) \in A_{2}(\mathbb{F})$. Using these two facts, the proof of Main Theorem 2 [3, $\S 3.8]$ carries over in our case to prove the statement.

Remark 4.3.2. The inverse to the map in Proposition 4.3.1 is the map which is induced by $\eta: A_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right) \rightarrow B_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)$, defined in $[3, \S 3.3]$ :

$$
\eta(L, M)=\sum_{\sigma \in S_{3}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\left(L_{0} \cap M_{\sigma(1)}, L_{1} \cap M_{\sigma(1)}, L_{2} \cap M_{\sigma(1)}, M_{\sigma(0)} \cap M_{\sigma(1)}\right)
$$

4.4. There is a homomorphism $\nu_{1,1}: A_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{\times}$induced by a comultiplication map which makes the following diagram commutative up to sign [3, Proposition, §2.14], [23, Example 5.1]:


Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{1,1}(m(\alpha \odot \beta))=\alpha \otimes \beta+\beta \otimes \alpha \tag{4.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[3, Proposition, §2.12].
Theorem 4.4.1. The maps $\mathfrak{L i}_{2} \circ \eta$, li $i_{2} \circ \eta$ and $\nu_{1,1}$ induce an isomorphism

$$
A_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{\circ} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}(1) \oplus \mathbb{F}(3) \oplus(\mathbb{F}(1) \otimes \mathbb{F}(1)) .
$$

Proof. Since $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed and $p>2, \mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{\times}$is 2-divisible. This, together with (4.4.1), implies that in the sequence of homomorphisms

$$
S^{2} \mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{\times} \xrightarrow{m} P_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\nu_{1,1}} \operatorname{ker}\left(\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{\times}\right) \rightarrow \Lambda^{2} \mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{\times}\right),
$$

both $m$ and $\nu_{1,1}$ are isomorphisms. Since $\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}^{\times}\right)^{\circ}=\mathbb{F}(1) \otimes \mathbb{F}(1)$, this implies that $\nu_{1,1}$ induces an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{\circ} \rightarrow \operatorname{ker}\left(\mathbb{F}(1) \otimes \mathbb{F}(1) \rightarrow \Lambda^{2} \mathbb{F}(1)\right) \tag{4.4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since Proposition 4.3.1 states that $A_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{\circ} / P_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{\circ} \simeq B_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]_{2}\right)^{\circ}$, (4.4.2), the above commutative diagram and Proposition 3.2.6 give the statement.
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